

IPCOB

The group of legal and mathematical approach to the consensus building

Symposium on The role of indirect parties and their reward

-Referring to the contingency fee system of lawyers in the United States-

In the process of consensus building, not only parties who have direct interests in the consensus but also others such as specialists, experts, moderators and facilitators are engaged. Those who do not have direct interest in the result of consensus but have significant effect on the process; we may call as 'indirect parties'. It is important to understand how 'indirect parties' interact to the process of consensus -neutrality or self-determinate- and to understand how they are paid for their efforts- money, reputation and so on.

We would like ask Professor Kritzer to lecture on the research works on lawyers' activity and the effect of contingency fee system on their activity in the U.S.

Referring the lecture, Professor Inohara will present some issues on the role of indirect parties on the process of consensus building and their reward.

At first of this symposium, Professor Kaneko introduces Professor Kritzer's books and short lecture on the attorney fee system in the U.S and in Japan.

Date: 2008 March 3 (Mon)

Place: Ookayama Campus West-9 Building Room 707 (7th Floor)

Time Table:

14:00-14:10 opening (in Japanese)

14:10-14:30 Kaneko: Introduction of Professor Kritzer's book, "Risks, Reputations, and Rewards: Contingency Fee Legal Practice in the United States".

14:30-15:30 Professor Kritzer: Lecture (in English)

15:30-16:00 Inohara: Issues on the role of indirect parties and their reward. (in English)

16:00-17:00 Discussion with floor about the role of indirect parties and their reward referring to the contingency fee system of lawyers in the United States. (in English)